

Super plactic structures : combinatorial and rewriting approaches

Nohra Hage

Université Catholique de Lille

June 9, 2022

Séminaire réécriture algébrique

<ロト</l>
・・・
・・・
・・・
・・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・
・・

I. Introduction and motivation

II. Super jeu de taquin

III. Super-RSK correspondence with symmetry

IV. Super Littlewood–Richardson rule

V. Coherence by insertion and perspectives

I. Introduction and motivation

• T Young tableau $\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$ $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$

• **T Young tableau** $\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$ $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \implies x^T = x_1^2 x_2^1 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5 x_6^2$

► T Young tableau
$$\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \implies x^T = x_1^2 x_2^1 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5 x_6^2 \implies \text{shape} : (4, 3, 2, 1)$$

T Young tableau
$$\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \implies x^T = x_1^2 x_2^1 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5 x_6^2 \implies \text{shape} : (4, 3, 2, 1)$$

• If λ is a partition of *n*, the associated **Schur function** is

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{T} x^{T}$$

where the sum is taken over all tableaux of shape λ .

► T Young tableau
$$\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \implies x^T = x_1^2 x_2^1 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5 x_6^2 \implies \text{shape} : (4, 3, 2, 1)$$

If λ is a partition of n, the associated Schur function is

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{T} x^{T}$$

where the sum is taken over all tableaux of shape λ .

Describe Littlewood-Richardson coefficients c^ν_{λ,μ} defined by

$$s_{\lambda}s_{\mu}=\sum_{
u}c_{\lambda,\mu}^{
u}s_{
u}$$

► T Young tableau
$$\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 5 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \implies x^T = x_1^2 x_2^1 x_3^2 x_4^2 x_5 x_6^2 \implies \text{shape} : (4, 3, 2, 1)$$

If λ is a partition of n, the associated Schur function is

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{T} x^{T}$$

where the sum is taken over all tableaux of shape λ .

Describe Littlewood-Richardson coefficients c^ν_{λ,μ} defined by

$$s_\lambda s_\mu = \sum_
u c^
u_{\lambda,\mu} s_
u$$

• $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$: number of Littlewood-Richardson skew tableaux of shape ν/λ and of weight μ .





shape: (5, 4, 3, 2)/(3, 3, 1)



- shape: (5, 4, 3, 2)/(3, 3, 1)
- ▶ weight : (4, 1, 1, 1)



- shape: (5, 4, 3, 2)/(3, 3, 1)
- weight: (4, 1, 1, 1)
- 1413211 \rightsquigarrow each right factor $v : |v|_1 \ge |v|_2 \ge |v|_3 \ge |v|_4$



- shape: (5, 4, 3, 2)/(3, 3, 1)
- weight: (4, 1, 1, 1)
- 1413211 \rightarrow each right factor $v : |v|_1 \ge |v|_2 \ge |v|_3 \ge |v|_4$

Example. $\lambda = (2, 1), \mu = (3, 2, 1)$ and $\nu = (4, 3, 2)$.



- shape: (5, 4, 3, 2)/(3, 3, 1)
- weight: (4, 1, 1, 1)
- 1413211 \rightarrow each right factor $v : |v|_1 \ge |v|_2 \ge |v|_3 \ge |v|_4$

Example. $\lambda = (2, 1), \mu = (3, 2, 1)$ and $\nu = (4, 3, 2) \cdot \rightarrow c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\nu} = 2$:





- shape: (5, 4, 3, 2)/(3, 3, 1)
- weight: (4, 1, 1, 1)
- ▶ 1413211 \rightsquigarrow each right factor $v : |v|_1 \ge |v|_2 \ge |v|_3 \ge |v|_4$

Example. $\lambda = (2, 1), \mu = (3, 2, 1) \text{ and } \nu = (4, 3, 2). \rightsquigarrow c_{\lambda, \mu}^{\nu} = 2$:



• $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$: number of skew tableaux of shape ν/λ whose rectification is a given tableau of shape μ .











► (Fulton '97–Schützenberger '77) :

- (Fulton '97–Schützenberger '77) :
 - Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners
 - \blacktriangleright \rightsquigarrow to the same rectified Young tableau.

- (Fulton '97–Schützenberger '77) :
 - Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners
 - \blacktriangleright \rightsquigarrow to the same rectified Young tableau.
 - Rect(S) is the unique tableau whose reading is Knuth equivalent to the reading of S.

- (Fulton '97–Schützenberger '77) :
 - Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners
 - \blacktriangleright \rightsquigarrow to the same rectified Young tableau.
 - Rect(S) is the unique tableau whose reading is Knuth equivalent to the reading of S.
- Knuth relations, (Knuth, '70) : $\sim_{\mathcal{K}}$ the congruence on $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$:

 $\begin{aligned} zxy &= xzy & \text{ for all } 1 \leq x \leq y < z \leq n \\ yzx &= yxz & \text{ for all } 1 \leq x < y \leq z \leq n \end{aligned}$

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</p>

- (Fulton '97–Schützenberger '77) :
 - Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners
 - \blacktriangleright \rightsquigarrow to the same rectified Young tableau.
 - Rect(S) is the unique tableau whose reading is Knuth equivalent to the reading of S.
- ▶ Knuth relations, (Knuth, '70) : \sim_{κ} the congruence on $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$:

 $\begin{aligned} zxy &= xzy & \text{ for all } 1 \leq x \leq y < z \leq n \\ yzx &= yxz & \text{ for all } 1 \leq x < y \leq z \leq n \end{aligned}$

Plactic monoid, (Lascoux, Schützenberger, '81) :

 $\mathbf{P}([n]) := [n]^* / \sim_{\mathcal{K}}$

(Fulton '97–Schützenberger '77) :

Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners

- \blacktriangleright \rightsquigarrow to the same rectified Young tableau.
- Rect(S) is the unique tableau whose reading is Knuth equivalent to the reading of S.
- Knuth relations, (Knuth, '70) : \sim_{κ} the congruence on $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$:

 $\begin{aligned} zxy &= xzy & \text{ for all } 1 \leq x \leq y < z \leq n \\ yzx &= yxz & \text{ for all } 1 \leq x < y \leq z \leq n \end{aligned}$

Plactic monoid, (Lascoux, Schützenberger, '81) :

 $\mathbf{P}([n]) := [n]^* / \sim_{\mathcal{K}}$

- representations of finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebras
 - Kashiwara crystal theory
 - Littelmann path model

(Fulton '97–Schützenberger '77) :

Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners

- \blacktriangleright \rightsquigarrow to the same rectified Young tableau.
- Rect(S) is the unique tableau whose reading is Knuth equivalent to the reading of S.
- **Knuth relations**, (Knuth, '70) : $\sim_{\mathcal{K}}$ the congruence on $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$:

 $\begin{aligned} zxy &= xzy & \text{ for all } 1 \leq x \leq y < z \leq n \\ yzx &= yxz & \text{ for all } 1 \leq x < y \leq z \leq n \end{aligned}$

Plactic monoid, (Lascoux, Schützenberger, '81) :

 $\mathbf{P}([n]) := [n]^* / \sim_{\mathcal{K}}$

- representations of finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebras
 - Kashiwara crystal theory
 - Littelmann path model
- theory of symmetric polynomials
 - first correct proof of the Littlewood–Richardson rule

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ▲□ ● ●

Schensted's insertion, (Schensted, '61) :

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

Schensted's insertion, (Schensted, '61) :



Robinson–Schensted correspondence : w = 231415

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$


Robinson–Schensted correspondence : w = 231415

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of **same-shape** tableaux :



Robinson–Schensted correspondence : w = 231415

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of **same-shape** tableaux :

 $(\emptyset, \emptyset),$

・ロト・雪・・雪・・雪・ ・ しゃくの



Robinson–Schensted correspondence : w = 231415

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of same-shape tableaux :

 $(\emptyset, \emptyset), (2, 1),$



Robinson–Schensted correspondence : w = 231415

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of same-shape tableaux :

 $(\emptyset, \emptyset), (2, 1), (23, 12),$



Robinson–Schensted correspondence : w = 231415

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of same-shape tableaux :

 $(\emptyset, \emptyset), (2, 1), (23, 12), (\frac{13}{2}, \frac{12}{3}),$



Robinson–Schensted correspondence : w = 231415

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of same-shape tableaux :

 $(\emptyset \ , \ \emptyset), \quad (\fbox{2} \ , \ \underbar{1}), \quad (\fbox{23} \ , \ \underbar{12}), \quad (\underbar{13} \ , \ \underbar{12}), \quad (\underbar{134} \ , \ \underbar{124}),$



Robinson–Schensted correspondence : w = 231415

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of **same-shape** tableaux :

 $(\emptyset, \emptyset), (2, 1), (23, 12), (\frac{13}{2}, \frac{12}{3}), (\frac{134}{2}, \frac{124}{3}), (\frac{114}{23}, \frac{124}{35}), (\frac{114}{23}, \frac{1124}{35}),$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─の



Robinson–Schensted correspondence : w = 231415

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of same-shape tableaux :

8/37

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

・ロト・「「」・「山下・「山下・」 しゃくの

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence :

 $w \longleftrightarrow (T(w), Q(w))$

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence :

 $w \longleftrightarrow (T(w), Q(w))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of same-shape tableaux :

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence :

 $w \longleftrightarrow (T(w), Q(w))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of **same-shape** tableaux :

 $(\emptyset, \emptyset),$

(ロ) (部) (き) (き) (き) ()

$$\frac{1335}{247} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \frac{135}{237}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence :

 $w \longleftrightarrow (T(w), Q(w))$

- T(w) and Q(w) are of same-shape tableaux :
 - $(\emptyset, \emptyset), (2, 1),$

$$\frac{1335}{247} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \frac{135}{237}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence :

 $w \longleftrightarrow (T(w), Q(w))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of same-shape tableaux :

 $(\emptyset, \emptyset), (2, 1), (23, 11),$

(ロ) (部) (き) (き) (き) ()

$$\frac{1335}{247} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \frac{135}{237}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence :

 $w \longleftrightarrow (T(w), Q(w))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of **same-shape** tableaux :

 $(\emptyset \ , \ \emptyset), \quad (\textcircled{2} \ , \ \underbar{1}), \quad (\textcircled{23} \ , \ \underbar{11}), \quad (\overbrace{23}^{\underbar{13}}, \ \overbrace{11}^{\underbar{11}}), \quad (\overbrace{13}^{\underbar{13}}, \ \overbrace{12}^{\underbar{11}}),$

(ロ) (部) (き) (き) (き) ()

$$\frac{1335}{247} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \frac{135}{237}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence :

 $\boldsymbol{w} \longleftrightarrow (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of **same-shape** tableaux :

 $(\emptyset, \emptyset), \quad ([2], [1]), \quad ([2]3, [1]1), \quad ([1]3, [1]1), \quad ([1]3]4, [1]12),$

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン 三日

$$\frac{1335}{247} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \frac{135}{237}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence :

 $w \longleftrightarrow (T(w), Q(w))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of **same-shape** tableaux :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \emptyset \ , \ \emptyset \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{2} \ , \ \boxed{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{2} \ \boxed{3} \ , \ \boxed{1} \ \boxed{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1} \ \boxed{3} \ \cancel{3} \ \cancel{3}$$

$$\frac{1335}{247} \leftrightarrow_{S_r} 2 = \frac{135}{237}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Robinson–Schensted–Knuth (RSK) correspondence :

 $w \longleftrightarrow (T(w), Q(w))$

• T(w) and Q(w) are of **same-shape** tableaux :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \emptyset \,, \, \emptyset \,), \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2 \,, \, 1 \, \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 23 \,, \, 11 \, \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 13 \,, \, 11 \, \\ 2 \, \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1134 \,, \, 112 \, \\ 2 \, \\ 2 \, 3 \, \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} T(w) \,=\, \frac{1145}{23} \,, \, Q(w) \,=\, \frac{1124}{23} \, \\ \hline 2 \, 3 \, 1 \, \\ \hline 2 \, 1 \, 1 \, 1 \, 1 \,$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \leftarrow _{S_r} 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \leftarrow S_r 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Inverse of a two-rowed array :

$$w^{\rm inv} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 \end{array} \leftarrow _{S_r} 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{array}$$

Two-rowed array :

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

Inverse of a two-rowed array :

$$w^{\text{inv}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Symmetry property, (Viennot, '77, Fulton, '97) :

$$\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathsf{inv}} \stackrel{\mathsf{RSK}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}))$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ◆ 臣 ▶ ○ 臣 ○ りへの

Super plactic structures

Signed alphabet (S, ||.||):

- S a finite or countable totally ordered set,
- $\blacktriangleright \quad \|.\|: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\} \text{ be any map},$
- $\bullet \quad \mathcal{S}_0 = \{a \in \mathcal{S} \mid ||a|| = 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_1 = \{a \in \mathcal{S} \mid ||a|| = 1\}, \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1.$

Signed alphabet (S, ||.||):

- S a finite or countable totally ordered set,
- $\blacktriangleright \quad \|.\|: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\} \text{ be any map},$
- $\bullet \quad \mathcal{S}_0 = \{a \in \mathcal{S} \mid ||a|| = 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_1 = \{a \in \mathcal{S} \mid ||a|| = 1\}, \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1.$

▶ Super plactic monoid P(S) over S, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) :

xzy = zxy, with x = y only if ||y|| = 0 and y = z only if ||y|| = 1, yxz = yzx, with x = y only if ||y|| = 1 and y = z only if ||y|| = 0,

for any $x \leq y \leq z$ in S.

► Signed alphabet (S, ||.||) :

- S a finite or countable totally ordered set,
- $\blacktriangleright \quad \|.\|: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\} \text{ be any map,}$
- $\bullet \quad \mathcal{S}_0 = \{a \in \mathcal{S} \mid ||a|| = 0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{S}_1 = \{a \in \mathcal{S} \mid ||a|| = 1\}, \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1.$

▶ Super plactic monoid P(S) over S, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) :

xzy = zxy, with x = y only if ||y|| = 0 and y = z only if ||y|| = 1, yxz = yzx, with x = y only if ||y|| = 1 and y = z only if ||y|| = 0,

for any $x \leq y \leq z$ in S.

• When $S_0 < S_1$, (Benkart, Kang, Kashiwara, '00) :

 $\{\overline{m} < \ldots < \overline{1} < 1 < \ldots < n\}$

The congruence $\sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)}$ describes crystal isomorphism of the crystal graph for $\mathfrak{gl}_{m,n}$.

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

(Berele, Regev, '87), (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88), (Benkart, Kang, Kashiwara, '00), (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : Super Young tableaux and insertion algorithms.

- (Berele, Regev, '87), (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88), (Benkart, Kang, Kashiwara, '00), (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : Super Young tableaux and insertion algorithms.
- A question is to obtain a super version of the RSK correspondence (with symmetry) on super tableaux :

- (Berele, Regev, '87), (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88), (Benkart, Kang, Kashiwara, '00), (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : Super Young tableaux and insertion algorithms.
- A question is to obtain a super version of the RSK correspondence (with symmetry) on super tableaux :
 - (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88) : a super version using super Schensted's insertion
 - (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : It is not symmetric

- (Berele, Regev, '87), (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88), (Benkart, Kang, Kashiwara, '00), (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : Super Young tableaux and insertion algorithms.
- A question is to obtain a super version of the RSK correspondence (with symmetry) on super tableaux :
 - (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88) : a super version using super Schensted's insertion
 - (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : It is not symmetric
 - (Muth, '19) : super-RSK correspondence with symmetry
 - using Haiman's mixed insertion on super tableaux.
 - not related to the super plactic monoid and the super Littlewood-Richardson rule.

- (Berele, Regev, '87), (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88), (Benkart, Kang, Kashiwara, '00), (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : Super Young tableaux and insertion algorithms.
- A question is to obtain a super version of the RSK correspondence (with symmetry) on super tableaux :
 - (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88) : a super version using super Schensted's insertion
 - (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : It is not symmetric
 - (Muth, '19) : super-RSK correspondence with symmetry
 - using Haiman's mixed insertion on super tableaux.
 - not related to the super plactic monoid and the super Littlewood-Richardson rule.
- We introduce a super-RSK correspondence on super tableaux :

- (Berele, Regev, '87), (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88), (Benkart, Kang, Kashiwara, '00), (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : Super Young tableaux and insertion algorithms.
- A question is to obtain a super version of the RSK correspondence (with symmetry) on super tableaux :
 - (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88) : a super version using super Schensted's insertion
 - (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : It is not symmetric
 - (Muth, '19) : super-RSK correspondence with symmetry
 - using Haiman's mixed insertion on super tableaux.
 - not related to the super plactic monoid and the super Littlewood-Richardson rule.
- We introduce a super-RSK correspondence on super tableaux :
 - we introduce the super jeu de taquin on super tableaux,

- (Berele, Regev, '87), (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88), (Benkart, Kang, Kashiwara, '00), (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : Super Young tableaux and insertion algorithms.
- A question is to obtain a super version of the RSK correspondence (with symmetry) on super tableaux :
 - (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88) : a super version using super Schensted's insertion
 - (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : It is not symmetric
 - (Muth, '19) : super-RSK correspondence with symmetry
 - using Haiman's mixed insertion on super tableaux.
 - not related to the super plactic monoid and the super Littlewood-Richardson rule.
- We introduce a super-RSK correspondence on super tableaux :
 - we introduce the super jeu de taquin on super tableaux,
 - we prove the symmetry of the super-RSK correspondence,

- (Berele, Regev, '87), (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88), (Benkart, Kang, Kashiwara, '00), (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : Super Young tableaux and insertion algorithms.
- A question is to obtain a super version of the RSK correspondence (with symmetry) on super tableaux :
 - (Bonetti, Senato, Venezia, '88) : a super version using super Schensted's insertion
 - (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) : It is not symmetric
 - (Muth, '19) : super-RSK correspondence with symmetry
 - using Haiman's mixed insertion on super tableaux.
 - not related to the super plactic monoid and the super Littlewood-Richardson rule.
- We introduce a super-RSK correspondence on super tableaux :
 - we introduce the super jeu de taquin on super tableaux,
 - we prove the symmetry of the super-RSK correspondence,
 - we give a combinatorial version of the super Littlewood–Richardson rule.

II. Super jeu de taquin
▶ Yt(S) set of super tableaux : $S_0 = \{even integers\}, S_1 = \{odd integers\}$



▶ Yt(S) set of super tableaux : $S_0 = \{even integers\}, S_1 = \{odd integers\}$

 $t = \frac{\begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \end{array}}{\begin{array}{c} 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \end{array}}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} {\it R_{col}(t)} &= 4111\; 4332\; 552\; 773\; 84\; 84\; 4 \\ {\it R_{row}(t)} &= 44\; 1357\; 135788\; 1223444 \end{array}$

▶ Yt(S) set of super tableaux : $S_0 = \{even integers\}, S_1 = \{odd integers\}$

 $t = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&2&3&4&4&4\\\hline 1&3&5&7&8&8\\\hline 1&3&5&7&8&8\\\hline 1&3&5&7\\\hline 4&4&4\end{array}} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} R_{col}(t) & = 41\,11\,4332\,552\,773\,84\,84\,4\\ R_{row}(t) & = 44\,1357\,135788\,1223444 \end{array}$

Proposition.(H., '22) : $R_{col}(t) \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} R_{row}(t)$

▶ Yt(S) set of super tableaux : $S_0 = \{even integers\}, S_1 = \{odd integers\}$

 $t = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&2&3&4&4&4\\\hline 1&3&5&7&8&8\\\hline 1&3&5&7&8&8\\\hline 1&3&5&7\\\hline 4&4 \end{array}} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} R_{col}(t) & = 41\,11\,4332\,552\,773\,84\,84\,4\\ R_{row}(t) & = 44\,1357\,135788\,1223444 \end{array}$

Proposition.(H., '22) : $R_{col}(t) \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} R_{row}(t)$

Insertion on super tableaux, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) :

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\$$

▶ Yt(S) set of super tableaux : $S_0 = \{even integers\}, S_1 = \{odd integers\}$

 $t = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1&2&2&3&4&4&4\\\hline 1&3&5&7&8&8\\\hline 1&3&5&7&8&8\\\hline 1&3&5&7\\\hline 4&4 \end{array}} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} R_{col}(t) & = 41\,11\,4332\,552\,773\,84\,84\,4\\ R_{row}(t) & = 44\,1357\,135788\,1223444 \end{array}$

Proposition.(H., '22) : $R_{col}(t) \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} R_{row}(t)$

Insertion on super tableaux, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) :



▶ Yt(S) set of super tableaux : $S_0 = \{even integers\}, S_1 = \{odd integers\}$

 $t = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 8 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 4 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 8 & 4 \\\hline R_{col}(t) & = 41 & 11 & 4332 & 552 & 773 & 84 & 84 & 4 \\\hline R_{col}(t) & = 44 & 1357 & 135788 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 1223444 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 125788 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\\hline 1 & 3 &$

Proposition.(H., '22) : $R_{col}(t) \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} R_{row}(t)$

Insertion on super tableaux, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) :



Super tableau constructor : w = x₁...x_k

 $T(w) := (\emptyset \rightsquigarrow w) = ((\dots (\emptyset \rightsquigarrow x_1) \leadsto \dots) \nleftrightarrow x_k).$

▶ Yt(S) set of super tableaux : $S_0 = \{even integers\}, S_1 = \{odd integers\}$

Proposition.(H., '22) : $R_{col}(t) \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} R_{row}(t)$

Insertion on super tableaux, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, 06) :



Super tableau constructor : w = x₁...x_k

▶ Yt(S) set of super tableaux : $S_0 = \{even integers\}, S_1 = \{odd integers\}$

Proposition.(H., '22) : $R_{col}(t) \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} R_{row}(t)$

Insertion on super tableaux, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, 06) :



Super tableau constructor : w = x₁ ... x_k

$$T(w) = (w \rightsquigarrow \emptyset) = (x_1 \rightsquigarrow (\ldots \rightsquigarrow (x_k \rightsquigarrow \emptyset) \ldots)).$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

The cross-section property, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) :

 $w \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} v$ if and only if T(w) = T(v)

The cross-section property, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) :

 $w \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} v$ if and only if T(w) = T(v)

Insertion product :

 $t \star_{\mathrm{Yt}(\mathcal{S})} t' \coloneqq (t \nleftrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\mathit{col}}(t'))$

The cross-section property, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) :

 $w \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} v$ if and only if T(w) = T(v)

Insertion product :

$$t \star_{\operatorname{Yt}(\mathcal{S})} t' \coloneqq (t \nleftrightarrow \mathit{R_{col}}(t'))$$

• The product $\star_{Yt(S)}$ is associative.

The cross-section property, (La Scala, Nardozza, Senato, '06) :

 $w \sim_{\mathbf{P}(S)} v$ if and only if T(w) = T(v)

Insertion product :

$$t \star_{\operatorname{Yt}(\mathcal{S})} t' \coloneqq (t \nleftrightarrow R_{\operatorname{col}}(t'))$$

- The product $\star_{Yt(S)}$ is associative.
- ▶ The insertion ↔ and ↔ commute :

$$2 \rightsquigarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 4 & 4 \end{array} \right) \approx 2 \implies \begin{array}{c} 2 \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 4 & 4 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 3 & 4 \\ \hline 4 & 4 \end{array}$$
$$\left(2 \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 4 & 4 \end{array} \right) \iff 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 4 & 4 \end{array} \iff 2 = \begin{array}{c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 8 \\ \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ \hline 4 & 4 \end{array}$$

Super skew tableaux : $S_0 = \{ odd integers \}, S_1 = \{ even integers \}$



Super skew tableaux : $S_0 = \{ \text{odd integers} \}, S_1 = \{ \text{even integers} \}$



Super skew tableaux : $S_0 = \{ odd integers \}, S_1 = \{ even integers \}$



Super skew tableaux : S₀ = {odd integers}, S₁ = {even integers}

shape : (5,3,2,1)/(2,1)



Super skew tableaux : S₀ = {odd integers}, S₁ = {even integers}

shape : (5,3,2,1)/(2,1)



Super skew tableaux : $S_0 = \{ odd integers \}, S_1 = \{ even integers \}$

shape : (5,3,2,1)/(2,1)

Super jeu de taquin :



17/37

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Theorem (H. '22) :

Rect(S) is the unique super tableau such that

 $R_{col}(\text{Rect}(S)) \sim_{P(S)} R_{col}(S).$

Confluence of super jeu de taquin : Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners.

Theorem (H. '22) :

Rect(S) is the unique super tableau such that

 $R_{col}(\text{Rect}(S)) \sim_{P(S)} R_{col}(S).$

Confluence of super jeu de taquin : Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners.

Insertion and taquin.

Theorem (H. '22) :

Rect(S) is the unique super tableau such that

 $R_{col}(\text{Rect}(S)) \sim_{P(S)} R_{col}(S).$

Confluence of super jeu de taquin : Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners.

Insertion and taquin.

Concatenation of super tableaux :



Theorem (H. '22) :

Rect(S) is the unique super tableau such that

 $R_{col}(\text{Rect}(S)) \sim_{P(S)} R_{col}(S).$

Confluence of super jeu de taquin : Rect does not depend on the order in which we choose inner corners.

Insertion and taquin.

Concatenation of super tableaux :



Proposition (H. '22) : $Rect([S, S']) = S \star_{Yt(S)} S'.$

III. Super-RSK correspondence with symmetry

Super-RSK correspondence with symmetry

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへの

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Super-RSK correspondence :



 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Super-RSK correspondence :

Theorem (H. '22). There is a one-to-one correspondence :

 $\boldsymbol{w} \stackrel{\mathsf{RSK}}{\longleftrightarrow} (\boldsymbol{T}(\boldsymbol{w}), \boldsymbol{Q}(\boldsymbol{w}))$

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$



 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$



 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$



 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix-ball construction :



 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$



 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$



 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$



 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$w^{\text{inv}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

l

Signed two rowed array.

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix-ball construction :



$$w^{inv} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\left(Q(w) = \frac{123}{\frac{13}{24}}, T(w) = \frac{112}{\frac{23}{24}} \right)$$

26/37

H 5

Theorem (H. '22). (The symmetry property)

 $\textbf{\textit{w}} \xleftarrow{\textit{RSK}} (\textbf{\textit{T}}(\textbf{\textit{w}}), \textbf{\textit{Q}}(\textbf{\textit{w}})) \iff \textbf{\textit{w}}^{\text{inv}} \xleftarrow{\textit{RSK}} (\textbf{\textit{Q}}(\textbf{\textit{w}}), \textbf{\textit{T}}(\textbf{\textit{w}})).$

Theorem (H. '22). (The symmetry property)

$$w \stackrel{RSK}{\longleftrightarrow} (T(w), Q(w)) \iff w^{\mathsf{inv}} \stackrel{RSK}{\longleftrightarrow} (Q(w), T(w)).$$

A dual super-RSK correspondence :

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem (H. '22). (The symmetry property)

$$w \stackrel{RSK}{\longleftrightarrow} (T(w), Q(w)) \iff w^{\mathsf{inv}} \stackrel{RSK}{\longleftrightarrow} (Q(w), T(w)).$$

A dual super-RSK correspondence :

(Ø

 $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}_1' = \{\text{even numbers}\}, \, \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_0' = \{\text{odd numbers}\}$:

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$, \emptyset), \quad ([2], [4]), \quad ([12], [4]4), \quad ([\frac{1}{2}]], [\frac{3}{4}]), \quad ([\frac{1}{2}]], [\frac{3}{4}], [\frac{3}{4}]),$$

$$([\frac{1}{2}]], [\frac{2}{3}], [\frac{3}{4}]), \quad ([\frac{1}{2}]], [\frac{2}{2}]], [\frac{2}{3}]], \quad ([\frac{1}{2}]]], [\frac{1}{2}]], [\frac{1}{2}]], [\frac{1}{2}]], [\frac{1}{2}]], (\frac{1}{2}]], [\frac{1}{2}]], (\frac{1}{2}]], (\frac{1}{2}]], [\frac{1}{2}]], (\frac{1}{2}]], (\frac{1}{2}]],$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

29/37

Super Littlewood–Richardson coefficient c^γ_{λ,μ}: number of ways a given super tableau *t* of shape ν can be written as

 $t = t' \star_{\operatorname{Yt}(\mathcal{S})} t''$

where t' is of shape λ and t'' is of shape μ .

Super Littlewood–Richardson coefficient c^γ_{λ,μ}: number of ways a given super tableau *t* of shape ν can be written as

 $t = t' \star_{\operatorname{Yt}(\mathcal{S})} t''$

where t' is of shape λ and t'' is of shape μ .

• $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ is equal to number of super skew tableaux of the form



whose rectification is t.

Super Littlewood–Richardson coefficient c^v_{λ,μ}: number of ways a given super tableau *t* of shape ν can be written as

 $t = t' \star_{\operatorname{Yt}(\mathcal{S})} t''$

where t' is of shape λ and t'' is of shape μ .

• $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ is equal to number of super skew tableaux of the form



whose rectification is t.

Theorem (H. '22).

- c^ν_{λ,μ} is equal to the number of super skew tableaux of shape ν/λ whose rectification is a given tableau of shape μ.
- $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$ does not depend on *t*, and depends only on λ, μ and ν .

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへの

30/37

• T super tableau
$$\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$$
$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

• T super tableau
$$\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 & \\ 2 & 3 & \\ 4 & \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow x^T = x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4 x_5 x_6$$

► T super tableau
$$\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1226\\ 135\\ 23\\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \blacktriangleright x^T = x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4 x_5 x_6$$

If λ is a partition of n, the associated super Schur function is

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{T} x^{T}$$

where the sum is taken over all super tableaux of shape λ .

► T super tableau
$$\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \models x^T = x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4 x_5 x_6$$

If λ is a partition of n, the associated super Schur function is

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{T} x^{T}$$

where the sum is taken over all super tableaux of shape λ .

- Super plactic Z-algebra R_S:
 - linear generators are the monomials in $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{S})$,
 - a typical element in R_S is a formal sum of super tableaux.

► T super tableau
$$\rightsquigarrow x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{\text{number of times } i \text{ occurs in } T}$$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \models x^T = x_1^2 x_2^3 x_3^2 x_4 x_5 x_6$$

If λ is a partition of n, the associated super Schur function is

$$s_{\lambda} = \sum_{T} x^{T}$$

where the sum is taken over all super tableaux of shape λ .

- Super plactic Z-algebra R_S:
 - linear generators are the monomials in $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{S})$,
 - a typical element in R_S is a formal sum of super tableaux.

Theorem (H. '22). The following equalities hold in R_S :

$$S_{\lambda}S_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} C^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu}S_{\nu}$$
 $S_{\nu/\lambda} = \sum_{\mu} C^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu}S_{\mu}.$

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへの

32/37

- a presentation of the monoid,
 - generators,
 - rules.

- a presentation of the monoid,
 - generators,
 - rules.
- globular homotopy generators : the relations amongst the relations
 - describing the relations amongst the right and left insertions algorithms.

- a presentation of the monoid,
 - generators,
 - rules.
- globular homotopy generators : the relations amongst the relations
 - describing the relations amongst the right and left insertions algorithms.
- Super column coherent presentation of the super plactic monoid, (H., '22) :
 - generators : super columns
 - $\blacktriangleright \text{ rules } : \alpha_{u,v} : C_u C_v \Rightarrow C_w C_{w'}$
 - homotopy generators :



▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへの

Let $u = x_p \dots x_1$ and $v = y_q \dots y_1$ super columns of length p and q.

- Let $u = x_p \dots x_1$ and $v = y_q \dots y_1$ super columns of length p and q.
- Denote by u v:

- Let $u = x_p \dots x_1$ and $v = y_q \dots y_1$ super columns of length p and q.
- Denote by u v:



- Let $u = x_p \dots x_1$ and $v = y_q \dots y_1$ super columns of length p and q.
- Denote by u v:



• Denote by $u^{\times} v$ the other cases :

- Let $u = x_p \dots x_1$ and $v = y_q \dots y_1$ super columns of length p and q.
- Denote by u v:



• Denote by $u^{\times} v$ the other cases :


- Let $u = x_p \dots x_1$ and $v = y_q \dots y_1$ super columns of length p and q.
- Denote by u v:



• Denote by $u^{\times} v$ the other cases :



- Let $u = x_p \dots x_1$ and $v = y_q \dots y_1$ super columns of length p and q.
- Denote by <u>u</u> v :



• Denote by $u^{\times} v$ the other cases :



Lemma (H., 22). Let *u* and *v* two super columns such that $u^{\times} v$. The super tableau T(uv) consists of at most two super columns.

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへの

34/37

Theorem (H., 22). The 2-polygraph $Col_2(S)$:

- ▶ 1-cells : super column c_u ,
- 2-cells : $\alpha_{u,v} : c_u c_v \Rightarrow c_w c_{w'}, \ u^{\times} v$,

is a convergent presentation of the super plactic P(S).

Theorem (H., 22). The 2-polygraph $Col_2(S)$:

- ▶ 1-cells : super column c_u ,
- 2-cells : $\alpha_{u,v} : c_u c_v \Rightarrow c_w c_{w'}, \ u^{\times} v$,

is a convergent presentation of the super plactic P(S).

Theorem (H., 22). The extended presentation $\text{Col}_3(S)$ of P(S), obtained from $\text{Col}_2(S)$ by adjunction of



such that $\mathbf{u}^{\times} \mathbf{v}^{\times} \mathbf{t}$, is a coherent presentation of P(S).

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへの

35/37

Homotopical reduction : remove the redundant generators by taking into account the relations between the relations of the elements of the homotopy basis in higher dimension.

Homotopical reduction : remove the redundant generators by taking into account the relations between the relations of the elements of the homotopy basis in higher dimension.

Theorem (H., 22). The extended presentation $\overline{Col_3(S)}$ of P(S), obtained from $Col_2(S)$ by adjunction of



for every letter x in S and super columns v and t such that $x \stackrel{\times}{v} v \stackrel{\times}{t} t$, is a coherent presentation of P(S).

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへの

36/37

Super Littlewood–Richardson skew tableaux using genuine highest weight super tableaux



- a new combinatorial version of the super Littlewood-Richardson rule,
- $\blacktriangleright \ c^{\nu}_{\lambda,\mu}$: number of super Littlewood-Richardson skew tableaux of shape ν/λ and of weight $\mu\,!$
- interpretation in terms of the crystal structure of the general Lie superalgebra !

Super Littlewood–Richardson skew tableaux using genuine highest weight super tableaux



- a new combinatorial version of the super Littlewood-Richardson rule,
- $c_{\lambda,\mu}^{\nu}$: number of super Littlewood-Richardson skew tableaux of shape ν/λ and of weight $\mu!$
- interpretation in terms of the crystal structure of the general Lie superalgebra !
- The left and right keys on super tableaux :
 - The right and left key of a semistandard Young tableau were introduced by Lascoux and Schützenberger in 1990.
 - The right key is a tool used to find Demazure characters for the general Lie algebra using the jeu de taquin.
 - Demazure atoms and characters for the general Lie superalgebra !

- A super-RSK correspondence with symmetry and the super Littlewood–Richardson rule for super tableaux, in preparation, 2022.
- Coherent presentations of super plactic monoids of type A by insertions, arxiv :2112.09633, submitted, 2021.
- Super jeu de taquin and combinatorics of super tableaux of type A, International Journal of Algebra and Computation, arxiv :2105.07819, 2022.

Thank you for your attention !